This article was downloaded by: On: 28 January 2011 Access details: Access Details: Free Access Publisher Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37- 41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK

Physics and Chemistry of Liquids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713646857>

The Determination of the Liquid Immiscibility Boundaries of the Lithium-Sodium and Thallium-Selenium Systems by the Liquid Density Method Frank A. Kanda^a; Robert C. Faxon^a; Douglas V. Keller^a

a Syracuse University Syracuse, N.Y.

To cite this Article Kanda, Frank A. , Faxon, Robert C. and Keller, Douglas V.(1968) 'The Determination of the Liquid Immiscibility Boundaries of the Lithium-Sodium and Thallium-Selenium Systems by the Liquid Density Method', Physics and Chemistry of Liquids, 1: 1, $61 - 72$

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/00319106808083787 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00319106808083787>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use:<http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or
systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

N Pllyaice and Chemistry of Laquide, **1968.** Vol. **1,** pp. **61-73** @Copyright **1968** Gordon and Breach Science Publishers Printed in Qermany

REPRINT II

The Determination of **the Liquid Immiscibility Boundaries** of **the Lithium-Sodium and Thallium-Selenium Systems by the Liquid Density Method'**

FRANK A. KANDA, ROBERT C. FAXON and DOUGLAS V. KELLER Syracuse University Syracuse, N.Y.

Received Manuscript Jan. 23, **1967** Revised Manuscript Received April **27, 1967**

Abstract-The immiscibility boundaries and monotectic temperatures of the lithium-sodium and thallium-selenium systems were determined by techniques using density-temperature and density-composition isotherms. The immiscibility boundary of the lithium-sodium system WBB found to extend from **10.5** to **96** weight percent *80* dium at the monotectic temperature, 170° \pm 1°C. The critical solution (consolute) temperature was found to be $306^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$ C. The thallium-rich immiscibility region of the thallium-selenium system has a consolute temperature of $750^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and a monotectic isothermal of 380° $+ 2^{\circ}$ C extending over the composition range 0.1-32.9 atom percent selenium. The second immiscibility region in **thie** system **was** found to have **a** consolute temperature of $454^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ and a monotectic isothermal of $201^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$. The latter extenda **between** the composition limits 77-99.9 atom percent selenium.

Introdnetion

It has been shown² that liquid immiscibility boundaries can be accurately delineated by the use of density-temperature and density-composition Isotherms. **This** technique **was** used to determine the immiscibility boundaries in the lithium-sodium and thallium-selenium systems.

These were chosen **as** the first metallic systems to be investigated by this method **for** several reasons. The determination of alkali and alkaline earth metal systems has been a research endeavor in this laboratory for many **years** therefore the lithium-sodium system **was** of immediate intereat. **A** system involving the alkali metals presents many experimental challenges and it **was** felt this would present the ultimate test for the adaptability of this method. When this system was first^s investigated in

this laboratory little experimental work but much postulation⁴ had been made regarding the immiscibility region. Another investigation⁵ was reported which was in serious disagreement with both these **results. As a** consequence when improved equipment was constructed and the isotherm approach was developed² it was felt that this system should be carefully re-investigated.

The thallium-selenium system as reported by Hansen⁶ shows a monotectic immiscibility system at each end of the diagram. However, both . immiscibility boundaries were only speculative and neither consolute temperature was known. In addition, the monotectic temperatures, reported for several investigators, are in disagreement. The double immiscibility, an unusual feature in phase diagrams, coupled with the challenge posed by the high vapor pressure of selenium, made this system a worthy one with which to test the density method further.

THE LITHIUM-SODIUM SYSTEM

Apparatus

The density determinations by the Archimedean method were accomplished using apparatus previously described.² The densitometer cell, which contained the sample crucibles and the molten alloys is **ahown** in Fig. **1.** Cells were constructed of both Pyrex of Vycor depending upon the ultimate temperature to which samples were heated. A standard taper cap allowed for opening the cell in order to load it with crucibles or to make additions to metal slugs in existent alloys. **Purified** argon (Matheson research grade), flowed into the cell and out through the opening in the cap. This argon flow was maintained during the entire experiment with a given alloy.

In the bottom **of** the glass envelope is shown an iron crucible, **(A),** $1\frac{1}{4}$. O.D. and 5" long. It was into this crucible that the weighed metals were placed. **A** second iron crucible, (B), **was** suspended, **as** shown, above **(A).** The outer diameter of (B) was **1/8"** less than the i.d. of **(A)** in order to permit nesting **of** (B) into **(A).** The bottom **of** crucible (B) was drilled with two 1/8" diameter holes, one in the center and the other on the outer edge of the crucible bottom. The shaft of an iron ring stirrer passed through the edge hole. The holes served **as** inlets for the molten metal in **(A)** to pass through and fill (B) when it **was** submerged and

nested in **(A).** This deacummed the **surface** of the metal or alloy which, otherwise, regardless of the care exercised in its preparation and in cleaning the crucibles, always displayed a dull surface dross. The dross can cling to the fine suspension in a "tenting" fashion and drag the suspension downward **as** the surface drops due to volume contraction dur**ing** cooling. Erratic density-temperature recordings result and this casts some doubt on the reliability **of** inflections indicating the onset of immiscibility. For this reason it is imperative to maintain brilliant molten surfaces. The crucible (B) could be raised or lowered by means of the thermocouple shield, usually iron, attached to the inner wall **of** the crucible and extending **out** through the opening in the standard taper cap. The **4** cc. molybdenum sinker, suspendkd from a **5mil** molybdenum lilament,

rested in (B) and became submerged and in suspension *in* the melt when **(B)** was finally nested **into (A).**

The furnace consisted of four individual cylindrical resistance heaters stacked vertically and comprising a total vertical heat zone *6* inches in length. Each section had a separate variable resistor in its circuit in order to adjust the current it drew and consequently minimize thermal gradients between successive units. The uniformity and constancy of melt temperatures in the central zone of the furnace was maintained within at least $+0.5^{\circ}$ C. The furnace could also be cooled or heated at a constant rate ranging from 0.2"C to 8°C per minute.

In the case of static density measurements which were made at constant temperature, the sinker was suspended from a Mettler balance and temperature waa read periodically with a Leeds and Northrup precision potentiometer. Chromel-Alumel thermocouples used in this investigation were calibrated against a Pt ; 90Pt lORh thermocouple calibrated and certified by the N.B.S. It is felt that the temperatures reported in the results are reliable to within at least **1°C.**

Alloys

The sodium used in this investigation was obtained from Baker and Adamson Co. and was reported to be $99.9 + %$ pure. Its melting point, 97.5"C, was very close to the recognized value 97.8"C for high purity sodium.^{7.8} The lithium was obtained from Foote Mineral Co. and according to the analysis of the supplier, it was 99.9% pure. It melted at 180.5"C which is in excellent agreement with previously reported results.^{9, 10, 11} For more convenience in manipulation, many of the alloys were prepared outside the drybox. The metals were scraped clean under sodium-dried paraffin oil, rinsed in petroleum ether and accurately weighed in tared beakers of clean petroleum ether. The very brief *expo*sure to the atmosphere during transfer between petroleum ether and the crucible did not affect the composition of the alloy **nor** did it increase the amount of dross which had to be removed by the method described above even for drybox prepared alloys.

Experimental Procedure

The cell and its contents were heated to approximately **400°C** and after the metals melted the alloy was thoroughly stirred by means of the ring stirrer while crucible **(R)** containing the sinker, waa held in suspension

above the molten alloy. This crucible was then lowered into the melt, and as the alloy rose through the holes in its bottom any surface scum which was present in crucible **(A)** was effectively filtered from entering (B). After temperature equilibration was reached the alloy was cooled at a slow controlled rate (between **0.8-2"C/min)** while the weight loss of the sinker was plotted continuously against the temperature **as** described previously.2 Cooling was continued until the monotectic (solidification) temperature (170") was reached.

Inflections occurred on the density-temperature curves **as** shown in Fig. *2,* at temperatures corresponding *to* the onset of immiscibility B, Table 1, as well **as** at the monotectic temperature C. The direction of the in-

Wt. % Na	Temp. °C	Wt. % Na	Temp. ^o C
19	228	63	303
25	253	64	306
39.5	292	71.5	303
47	298.5	75	301
50	302	79.5	296
54.5	300.5	89.5	257

TABLE 1 Immiscibility boundary temperatures determined from inflections in **density-temperature curve8**

flection at *(C)* depends upon the system, change in volume upon solidification, the position of the sinker within the separated layers and whether crystals growing from the side or bottom **of** the crucible reach and support **or** lock the sinker in suspension. Whatever the case, there is no longer a continuum of the curve $B-C$ but rather a change in direction at (C) and at constant temperature for isothermal processes either in the direction **of** higher density or more usually in the direction of lower density due to an increase in sinker weight due to adherent solid on its surface.

The inflection in the density-temperature curve resulting from the growth of crystals on the sinker, or a change in composition of solution due to crystallization, allows for this method to be used **also** for the determination of solidification temperatures of metals and alloys. This is important whenever the heat of crystallization is small enough to render thermal analysis data unreliable. Some liquidus temperature data for the thallium-selenium system reported below waa obtained by this method.

5

The isothermal monotectic reaction occuring in this system is:

 $L_1 \rightarrow$ Lithium (solid) + L_2

The reaction occurs at **170°C** and the eight experimentally determined monotectic temperatures shown in Fig. **3** were determined by the method described above. They were verified by time-temperature cooling curves run simultaneously with the density-temperature experiments. The temperatures **of** solidification by both methods agree in each observed pair of runs well within **0.5"C** of each other. The maximum deviation **of** the eight monotectic temperature determinations was within **1°C** of **170"** over the composition range 10.5-96 **wt.%** sodium.

The immiscibility phase boundary **was** also investigated by the densityisotherm method.2 The densities **04** the **lithium** and sodium rich layers **of 50** and **75** weight per cent sodium alloys respectively were determined after equilibration and gravitational separation at various temperatures. The compositions which correspond to these densities at these temperatures were deduced from density-composition isotherms **as** described previously and are given in Table **2.**

Fig. 3

 TABLE^ **The** composition **and density of immiscible layers which erist at the** temperaturea listed

Sodium Layer			Lithium Layer		
Temp. °C	Density	Wt. % Na	Temp. ^o C	D ensity	Wt. % Na
299.5	.736	76.5	299	.613	46.5
292	.770	81.5	290	.591	39.0
280	.788	86.5	279	.573	33.0
260	.812	90.5	238	.552	21.5
229.5	.838	93.0	209	.537	15.0
189	.862	95.5		٠	

Discussion of Results

The immiscibility boundary data by both the density-temperature and density isotherm methods found in this **work axe in excellent agree-6***

ment. The consolute temperature is $306^{\circ}\text{C} \pm 1^{\circ}$ and the monotectic temperature is $170^{\circ}\text{C} + 1^{\circ}$. The results of Salmon *et al.*⁴ based on chemical analysis of equilibrated layers, but only at two temperatures, are in agreement with the results reported here. However their calculated consolute temperature, 327"C, is high.

The boundary of Howland *et al.5* based on thermal analysis requires a critical solution temperature of **442"** which is **136"** higher than that found in this laboratory. No inflection in the density curves was observed in this temperature region. In fact the density was found to be uniform from top to bottom of the melt, at any temperature investigated above **306".** This indicates that a homogeneous solution exists above **306".** It would be impossible for a heterogeneous mixture of two solutions which differ in density by approximately 75 % to resist gravitational separation. It **is** felt, therefore, that the boundary limits found in this laboratory are correct and pertain *to* equilibrium conditions **as** shown in Fig. **2.**

THE THALLIUM-SELENIUM SYSTEM

Apparatus

The same apparatus was used for the investigation of this system as for the lithium-sodium system. Due to alloying characteristics and the reactivity of selenium with many metals it was found convenient to use Vycor **as a** crucible material and shield for the thermocouples. **A** tungsten sinker circa 4 cc. volume and a 5 mil tungsten suspension wire were used since this was sufficiently dense to sink in the alloys and was not attacked by them.

Metals

Both metals were obtained from the United Mineral and Chemical Corporation of New **York.** The thallium **rod** was four nine pure and selenium shot was five nines pure.

Since thallium is quite reactive towards atmospheric gases, pre-weighed samples **for** alloys and **for** additions to existent alloys were made up in advance in a drybox and sealed in test tubes under an argon atmosphere until ready for **we.** The metal was exposed to the atmosphere very briefly during transfer from the opened test tubes into the crucible.

Experiments) Procedure

The techniques used in the lithium sodium system studies were followed here for both immiscibility regions of this system. Samples of various compositions between **Ck33** atomic % selenium and **75-100** atomic % selenium were subjected to density-temperature and density-isotherm investigations. The inflections in the density-temperature curves gave immiscibility boundary points and monotectic temperatures. These results are given in Table **3** and plotted in Figure **4** the other features of

TABLE 3 Immiscibility boundary temperatures and monotectic reaction temper*tures* **determined for both immiscibility regions by the density-temperature method**

Thallium-Rich Boundary		Selenium-Rich Boundary			
Compo- sition	Immisci- bility	Mono- tectic	Compo- sition	Immisci- bility	Mono- tectic
At % Se	Temp. ^o C	Temp. ^o C	At $%$ Se	Temp. °C	Temp. ^o C
5.0	621°	381°	85	412°	200°
14.1	736°	379°	90.5	448°	201°
20	750°	383°	92.5	454°	
25	738°		95	448°	201°
30	660°	378°			

which are from Hansen.⁶ Due to the uncertainty of the liquidus boundary between **33** and **42** atomic % selenium this W~LB also redetermined by the density-temperature method **as** described above and the data are given in Table **4.** The proximity of this liquidus to the immiscibility region coupled with another type investigation underway in the laboratory were the reasons for investigating this liquidus.

TABLE 4 Liquidus temperaturea determined by the density-temperature methods

Composition At $%$ Se	Temperature ৽শ		
35	394°		
37	381°		
39	358°		
40	349°		

In order to apply the density isotherm method for additional immiscibility boundary points, samples with compositions of **10, 14,** 85 and **90** atomic per cent selenium were cooled below the critical solution temperatures and equilibrated stepwise at various temperatures. The density

of the lower layer (thallium layer) **was** determined at each of these temperatures. For these compositions the lower layer **waa** present in the largest volume assuring complete submergency of the sinker within the layer. These densities, and the temperatures at which they were determined, are given for the thallium layer in Tables 5 and 6. The atomic per cent selenium listed in the **tables** corresponding to each of these densities were determined from the density isotherms.

Samples corresponding to the composition of *25* and 95 atomic per cent selenium were investigated similarily except that the density of the more voluminous upper layer (selenium layer) wag determined from these at various temperatures. These **data** are also given in Tables *5* and 6 for the selenium layer in each case.

Discussion of Results

Downloaded At: 09:06 28 January 2011

Downloaded At: 09:06 28 January 2011

Based on literature research the two immiscibility boundaries of this system have not been determined previously. The monotectic temperature for the thallium rich immiscibility region has been given as $354^{\circ}C^{12}$,

Thallium Layer			Selenium Layer		
Temp. °C	6	At % Se	Temp. ^o C	6	At % Se
729	9.769	12.3	730	8.681	26.5
703	9.986	10.0	723	8.616	27.2
674	10.177	8.0	708	8.564	28.5
648	10.312	6.7	696	8.494	29.0
625	10.452	5.6	673	8.452	29.6
600	10.611	4.0	645	8.401	30.5
587	10.638	3.9	619	8.389	31.0
550	10.731	3.6	579	8.367	31.6
536	10.815	2.5	538	8.356	32.3
500	10.921	1.8	494	8.387	32.8
452	11.041	.9	444	8.420	32.9
414	11.157	.1	403	8.470	32.9
400	11.201	.1			

TABLE 5 Immiscibility boundary temperatures and compositions for the thalliumrich immiscible region

TABLE 6 Immiscibility boundary temperatures and compositions for the seleniumrich immiscibile region

Thallium Layer			Selenium Layer		
Temp. ^o C	6	At % Se	Temp. ^o C	6	At % Se
446	4.604	89.4	446	4.008	96.8
437	4.728	87.9	440	3.990	97.0
426	4.861	86.5	429	3.945	97.8
408	5.016	84.8	413	3.916	98.3
392	5.110	83.8	394	3.894	98.9
368	5.245	82.4	353	3.890	99.5
329	5.439	80.6	296	3.922	99.8
280	5.636	78.8	240	3.984	99.9
236	5.794	77.4			

390°C¹³ and in the range of 360° -390°C¹⁴. In the present investigation this temperature was found to be $380^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$ C over the composition range **0.1-32.9** atom per cent selenium. **The** selenium rich monotectic of $201 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C between 77-99.9 atom per cent selenium is in good agreement with that determined by Obukhov *et al.*¹² and Baroni.¹⁵ Pelabon¹³ on the other hand reported this monotectic to **be 190°C.**

The two critical solution (consolute) **temperatures** have not been report*ed* by other investigators. It is felt that the values **750°C** and **454°C** for the thallium-rich and selenium-rich regions respectively, are within 1°C of the equilibrium critical solution temperatures for the high purity alloy system.

Since the vapor pressure of the selenium was found to be respectable there was some concern regarding changes in composition of alloys over the period of time during which density measurements were made. **A** *50* at % alloy involving about **40** g of selenium was heated at 500°C and its weight loss amounted to circa 10 mg per hour. Even after three hours of heating the alloy composition change is still less than one part per thousand. Under these circumstances it was felt that density and composition errors induced by the vaporization loss of selenium would be insignificant relative to the limitations placed on the experimental results.

Acknowledgement

The authors are indebted to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission for their financial support for this **work.**

REFERENCES

- 1. Abstracted in part from the dissertation of R. C. Faxon submitted to the Chemistry Department of **Syracuse** University in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph. **D. Degree,** January, **1966.**
- 2. This Journal (Preceding Article by Kanda, Faxon and Grant).
- 3. Kanda, F. A., and **King, A.** J., **A.E.C.** Report No. **II** Contract No. **AT30-1-1910, Syracuse University,** April **1958.**
- **4.** Salmon, **0.** N., and Ahmann, **D.** H., KAPL **No. 1205, U.S.A.E.C., 1953** and J. *Phys. Chem.* **60, 13 (1956).**
- **5.** Howland, W. H., and Epstein, L. F., Advances in Chemistry Series, No. 19, **34 (1957).**
- **6.** Hansen, Max, Constitution of Binary **Alloys** (McGraw-Hill Book *Co.* Inc., New **York (1958),** Second Ed., p. **1191.**
- 7. Liquid Metals Handbook, Na-K Supplement, 3d *ed.,* U.S. Government Print**ing Office,** Washington, **D.C. (1955).**
- 8. McLaren, E. H., *Canad. J. Phys.* 36, 1131 (1958).
- **9.** Keller, **D. V.,** Kanda, **F. A.,** and King, **A.** J., *J. Phys. Chem. 62,* **732 (1958).**
- **10.** Wang, **F.** E., King, **A.** J., and Kanda, **F.** A., J. *Phy8. Chem. 66,* **2318 (1962).**
- **11. Douglas, T. B.,** J. Amer. Chem. SOC. **77, 2144 (1955).**
- **12.** Obukhov, **A. P., et** *d.,* Invest. Sektore Fiz.-Khim. **Anal. 17, 276 (1949).**
- 13. Pelabon, H., *Compt. rend.* 145, 118 (1907), *Amer. Chim. et phys.* 17, 526 (1909).
- 14. Murakami, T., Mem. Coll. Sci., Kyoto Univ. 1, 153 (1915).
- **15.** Baroni, **A.,** *Atti* **reale** *acead. Lincei, Rend. 26,* **621 (1937).**